### **Merit Systems Protection Board**

include in nonprecedential Orders a discussion of the issue(s) to assist the parties in understanding the reason(s) for the Board's disposition in a particular appeal. Nonprecedential Orders are not binding on the Board or its administrative judges in any future appeals except when it is determined they have a preclusive effect on parties under the doctrines of res judicata (claim preclusion), collateral estoppel (issue preclusion), judicial estoppel, or law of the case. Parties may cite nonprecedential Orders, but such orders have no precedential value; the Board and its administrative judges are not required to follow or distinguish them in any future decisions. In contrast, a precedential decision issued as an Opinion and Order has been identified by the Board as significantly contributing to the Board's case law.

[76 FR 60707, Sept. 30, 2011]

# § 1201.118 Board reopening of case and reconsideration of initial decision.

The Board may reopen an appeal and reconsider a decision of a judge on its own motion at any time, regardless of any other provisions of this part.

 $[54\ FR\ 53504,\ Dec.\ 29,\ 1989.\ Redesignated\ at\ 59\ FR\ 30864,\ June\ 16,\ 1994]$ 

## § 1201.119 OPM petition for reconsideration.

- (a) Criteria. Under 5 U.S.C. 7703(d), the Director of the Office of Personnel Management may file a petition for reconsideration of a Board final order if he or she determines:
- (1) That the Board erred in interpreting a civil service law, rule, or regulation affecting personnel management, and
- (2) That the Board's decision will have a substantial impact on a civil service law, rule, regulation, or policy directive.
- (b) *Time limit*. The Director must file the petition for reconsideration within 35 days after the date of service of the Board's final order.
- (c) *Briefs*. After the petition is filed, the Board will make the official record relating to the petition for reconsideration available to the Director for review. The Director's brief in support of the petition for reconsideration must

be filed within 20 days after the Board makes the record available for review. Any party's opposition to the petition for reconsideration must be filed within 25 days from the date of service of the Director's brief.

(d) Stays. If the Director of OPM files a petition for reconsideration, he or she also may ask the Board to stay its final order. An application for a stay, with a supporting memorandum, must be filed at the same time as the petition for reconsideration.

[54 FR 53504, Dec. 29, 1989. Redesignated at 59 FR 30864, June 16, 1994]

#### § 1201.120 Judicial review.

Any employee or applicant for employment who is adversely affected by a final order or decision of the Board under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 7703 may obtain judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. As §1201.175 of this part provides, an appropriate United States district court has jurisdiction over a request for judicial review of cases involving the kinds of discrimination issues described in 5 U.S.C. 7702.

 $[54~\mathrm{FR}~53504,~\mathrm{Dec.}~29,~1989.~\mathrm{Redesignated}$  at  $59~\mathrm{FR}~30864,~\mathrm{June}~16,~1994]$ 

### Subpart D—Procedures for Original Jurisdiction Cases

SOURCE: 62 FR 48451, Sept. 16, 1997, unless otherwise noted.

#### GENERAL

# § 1201.121 Scope of jurisdiction; application of subparts B, F, and H.

(a) Scope. The Board has original jurisdiction over complaints filed by the Special Counsel seeking corrective or disciplinary action (including complaints alleging a violation of the Hatch Political Activities Act), requests by the Special Counsel for stays of certain personnel actions, proposed agency actions against administrative law judges, and removals of career appointees from the Senior Executive Service for performance reasons.

(b) Application of subparts B, F, and H.
(1) Except as otherwise expressly provided by this subpart, the regulations in subpart B of this part applicable to